

A Conceptual Plan for the Stabilization and Restoration of the Napa River, Rutherford Reach

Final Report

November 24, 2003



Prepared for

Rutherford Dust Society

Prepared by

Philip Williams & Associates, Ltd.



PWA

Consultants in Hydrology



PHILIP WILLIAMS & ASSOCIATES

CONSULTANTS IN HYDROLOGY

720 CALIFORNIA ST., 6TH FLOOR, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108
TEL 415.262.2300 FAX 415.262.2303
SFO@PWA-LTD.COM

**A CONCEPTUAL PLAN FOR THE
STABILIZATION AND RESTORATION OF THE
NAPA RIVER, RUTHERFORD REACH**

FINAL REPORT

Prepared for

Rutherford Dust Society

Prepared by

Philip Williams & Associates, Ltd.

and

Ellie Insley and Associates,
Napa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District and
Napa County Resource Conservation District

November 20, 2003

PWA REF. # 1638-02

Services provided pursuant to this Agreement are intended solely for the use and benefit of the Rutherford Dust Society.

No other person or entity shall be entitled to rely on the services, opinions, recommendations, plans or specifications provided pursuant to this agreement without the express written consent of Philip Williams & Associates, Ltd., 720 California Street, 6th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94108.

Acknowledgements

This report was funded primarily by donations from the landowners along the river in the Rutherford Reach, and from the Rutherford Dust Society. The California Department of Fish and Game provided funding to analyze the riparian vegetation data, to write the vegetation section of the report, and conduct outreach to landowners.

The Napa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District assisted with the collection and analysis of data for the Riparian Vegetation Management Section, and printed this report.

Pina Vineyard Management Company and the Napa County Conservation, Development, and Planning Department provided the maps for the Riparian Vegetation Management Section of the report.

Napa County Resource Conservation District funded and carried out the Fish Habitat Survey.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page No.</u>
1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF PROBLEM	1
2. PROJECT GOAL AND OBJECTIVES	2
2.1 THE RUTHERFORD DUST RESTORATION TEAM GOAL	2
2.2 OBJECTIVES OF THE RESTORATION	2
2.3 CONSTRAINTS ON THE RESTORATION	2
2.4 OPPORTUNITIES PRESENTED BY THE RESTORATION	2
3. PROJECT SETTINGS AND WATERSHED HISTORY	3
3.1 PHYSICAL SETTING OF THE NAPA RIVER PROJECT REACH	3
3.2 HISTORY OF THE NAPA RIVER AND CONTEXT OF CURRENT CHANNEL CONDITIONS	3
4. ASSESSMENT OF THE CURRENT CONDITIONS AND EXPECTED EVOLUTION OF THE NAPA RIVER CORRIDOR	6
4.1 CURRENT CONDITIONS	6
4.1.1 The Modified Schumm Disturbed Channel Evolution Model	6
4.2 CHANNEL DOWNCUTTING	8
5. SUMMARY OF THE EXISTING CONDITIONS AND RECOMMENDED STRATEGY FOR BANK STABILIZATION AND CHANNEL CORRIDOR RESTORATION	12
5.1 EXISTING CONDITIONS	12
5.2 PRIORITY SITES AND REACHES FOR CHANNEL STABILIZATION AND RESTORATION	13
5.2.1 Priority Sites for Bank Stabilization	17
5.2.2 Reach Descriptions and Restoration Strategies for Reaches of the Napa River	18
5.2.2.1 Reach 1	20
5.2.2.2 Reach 2	23
5.2.2.3 Reach 3	26
5.2.2.4 Reach 4	28
5.2.2.5 Reach 5	30
5.2.2.6 Reach 6	30
5.2.2.7 Reach 7	31
5.2.2.8 Reach 8	31
6. PROJECT ALTERNATIVES	34

6.1	RATIONALLE FOR THE PROJECT ALTERNATIVES	34
6.2	DIFFERENCES IN CONCEPTUAL APPROACH FOR DIFFERENT STAGES	36
6.3	STAGE 3 INCISED AND INCISING CHANNELS	37
6.3.1	Alternative 3A - No Action Alternative	37
6.3.2	Alternative 3B – Within Bankfull Channel Alternative - Toe and Bank Rock Armoring with Grade Control Structures and Live Planting	37
6.3.3	Alternative 3C – Within Banktop Alternative - Toe Rock Armoring with Grade Control Structures and Vanes, Limited Grading and Biotechnical Bank Stabilization	37
6.3.4	Alternative 3D – Managed River Corridor Approach - Bank Top Set-Back to Create Terraces with Toe Rock Armoring if needed	38
6.3.5	Alternative 3E – Natural Recovery	38
6.4	STAGE 4 INCISED, WIDENING CHANNEL	38
6.4.1	Alternative 4A - No Action Alternative	38
6.4.2	Alternative 4B – Bankfull Channel Approach - Toe Rock Armoring and Biotechnical Bank Stabilization	38
6.4.3	Alternative 4C – Banktop Alternative - Toe Rock Armoring and Benching within Existing Bank Top Margins	39
6.4.4	Alternative 4D – Managed River Corridor Alternative - Bank Top Set-back to Create Terraces and Toe Rock Armoring if needed	39
6.4.5	Alternative 4E – Natural River Corridor Alternative	39
6.5	STAGE 5 WIDENED, AGGRADING CHANNELS	39
6.5.1	Alternative 5A - No Action Alternative	39
6.5.2	Alternative 5B – Within Bankfull Alternative - Toe protection on Outside Bends	40
6.5.3	Alternative 5C - Within Banktop Alternative - Toe Protection with Limited Regrading	40
6.5.4	Alternative 5D – Managed River Corridor Alternative - Bank Top Set-back to Create Terraces and High Flow Channels	40
6.5.5	Alternative 5E – Natural River Corridor Alternative	40
6.6	STAGE 6 NEWLY EQUILIBRATED CHANNELS	40
6.6.1	Alternative 6A - No-action Alternative	40
6.6.2	Alternative 6B – Within Bankfull Channel Alternative – Large Woody Debris Bank Toe Protection	41
6.6.3	Alternative 6C – Within Banktop Alternative – Large Woody Debris Toe Protection and Limited Bank Regrading	41
6.6.4	Alternative 6D – Managed River Corridor Alternative – Habitat enhancement	41
6.6.5	Alternative 6E – Natural River Corridor Alternative	41
6.7	INTEGRATING THE HABITAT ASSESSMENT WITH THE GEOMORPHIC ASSESSMENT	42
6.7.1	Introduction	42
6.7.2	Habitat Survey Results	42

6.7.3	Habitat Summary	44
6.7.4	Snorkel Survey Results	44
6.7.5	Fisheries Restoration Priorities	46
6.8	RIPARIAN VEGETATION AND HABITAT RESTORATION	49
6.8.1	Introduction	49
6.8.2	Existing Conditions of Riparian Vegetation	50
6.8.3	General Recommendations for Riparian Restoration/Revegetation	50
	6.8.3.1 Remove Non-Native Invasive Species and Replant with Native Species	51
	6.8.3.2 Establish a Buffer Zone along the Riparian Corridor	51
6.8.4	General Recommendations by Reach for Riparian Restoration	52
6.8.5	Vegetation Management for Pierce's Disease	53
	6.8.5.1 Background – Causes of Pierce's Disease	54
	6.8.5.2 Vegetation Management for Pierce's Disease	54
	6.8.5.3 Revegetating with Native Plants	55
	6.8.5.4 Pierce's Disease Revegetation in the Rutherford Reach	55
6.8.6	Managing Invasive Plants	56
	6.8.6.1 Arundo donax – Giant Reed	56
	6.8.6.2 Non-native Invasive Plants that Host Pierce's Disease	56
	6.8.6.3 Other Non-native Invasive Plants	57
6.8.7	Guidelines for In Channel Vegetation Management	57
6.8.8	The Guidelines	58
7.	LIST OF PREPARERS	67

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A. Channel Cross Sections and Hydraulic Model Output

APPENDIX B. Images of the Napa River, Rutherford Reach

APPENDIX C. Approximate Costings for the Restoration

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Sustainability Assessment	36
Table 2.	Summary of fish habitat elements for survey reach	43
Table 3.	Summary of fish species observed during snorkel survey (8/15/03)	45
Table 4.	Short List of Native Plants for Rutherford Reach Buffers	52
Table 5.	Plants capable of harboring Pierce's Disease	54

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.	Location of the Napa River Rutherford reach	4
-----------	---	---

Figure 2.	Schumm's modified channel evolution classification scheme, with examples from the Napa River Rutherford reach	7
Figure 3.	1984 and 1996 long profiles for the Napa River, Rutherford reach	10
Figure 4.	RCD Cross section 26190 in 1996 and 2003	11
Figure 5.	Classification of Schumm channel stage for the Napa River, Rutherford reach	15
Figure 6.	Explanation of the restoration approach footprints	16
Figure 7.	Priority stabilization site 1 - Laird site	17
Figure 8.	Priority stabilization site 2 – Sequoia Grove site	17
Figure 9.	Priority stabilization site 3 - Quintessa site	17
Figure 10.	Map identifying the project reaches and recommended restoration approach	19
Figure 11.	Typical existing conditions and conceptual design for Reach 1	22
Figure 12.	Typical existing conditions and conceptual design for Reach 2	25
Figure 13.	Typical existing conditions and conceptual design for Reach 3	27
Figure 14.	Typical existing conditions and conceptual design for Reach 4	29
Figure 15.	Typical existing conditions for Reach 6	31
Figure 16.	Typical existing conditions and conceptual design for Reach 8	33
Figure 17.	Habitat type map	60
Figure 18.	Riparian canopy map	61
Figure 19.	Snorkel survey fish count	62
Figure 20.	Significant stands of riparian vegetation	63
Figure 21.	Distribution of Himalayan blackberry	64
Figure 22.	Distribution of Periwinkle	65
Figure 23.	Distribution of Arundo donax	66

LIST OF PHOTOS

Appendix Page

Photograph B-1.	Bank erosion on Laird property, stage 4	16
Photograph B-2.	Southern end of Wilsey property, stage 5 but with erosion on outside (east) bend	16
Photograph B-3.	Bank collapse on Sequoia Grove property One of the priority restoration sites, this reach is stage 5, but receives impinging flows.	17
Photograph B-4.	Looking from Sawyer to Wilsey properties	17
Photograph B-5.	Looking from the Sawyer to Wilsey properties, stage 5 with terrace development	18
Photograph B-6.	From southern end of St Supery to Wilsey property, stage 6 with vegetated terraces	18
Photograph B-7.	Looking from the central St Supery to Wilsey properties, stage 6	19
Photograph B-8.	Looking from the northern St Supery to Wilsey properties, stage 6 but in need of revegetation	19
Photograph B-9.	Northern boundary of St Supery and Wilsey properties, moving into stage 5	20
Photograph B-10.	Southern boundary of the Round Pond property from the west bank, stage 5	20
Photograph B-11.	Possible knickzone in Peju Provinces and Round Pond Reach	21
Photograph B-12.	West side incised tributary at Wilsey property	21
Photograph B-13.	Looking downstream on west side tributary, Wilsey property	22
Photograph B-14.	Round Pond south of Rutherford Rd from the Wilsey property, stage 5	22

Photograph B-15. Undercut rip rap protection on the Wilsey property (west bank), stage 5 outside bend	23
Photograph B-16. Within the Wilsey property - east bank from west bank, stage 6	23
Photograph B-17. Wilsey property south of Rutherford Rd., stage 6 with well developed terraces and riffle-pool structure.	24
Photograph B-18. Wilsey property south of Rutherford Rd., stage 6	24
Photograph B-19. View downstream from Rutherford Rd Bridge, stage 6	25
Photograph B-20. View upstream from Rutherford Rd Bridge, stage 5	25
Photograph B-21. West side tributary at Rutherford bridge	26
Photograph B-22. MacDonnell property from Round Pond, above Rutherford Rd. Bridge, stage 5	26
Photograph B-23. MacDonnell property from Round Pond - “Early” narrow stage 5 reach	27
Photograph B-24. MacDonnell from Round Pond	27
Photograph B-25. Honig property from Round Pond, widening stage 4 Reach	28
Photograph B-26. Round Pond and Honig properties	28
Photograph B-27. Honig from boundary of Round Pond and E Mee	29
Photograph B-28. Bank erosion on E Mee property opposite Honig, within the priority restoration reach	29
Photograph B-29. Carpy Connolly from Emmolo	30
Photograph B-30. Carpy Connolly from Emmolo	30
Photograph B-31. Carpy Connolly from northern Emmolo property	31
Photograph B-32. Carpy Connolly from northern Emmolo property	31
Photograph B-33. Local bank top erosion on Carpy Connolly property	32
Photograph B-34. Entrenched stage 4 channel from levee on northern Emmolo property	32
Photograph B-35. Incised stage 4 channel with bank top erosion, Carpy Connolly The northern boundary of the main priority reach.	33
Photograph B-36. Boundary of Emmolo and C Mee	33
Photograph B-37. Area of potential banktop restoration between levees, C Mee	34
Photograph B-38. Southern end of riparian woodland, C Mee, stage 5	34
Photograph B-39. Looking across the riparian woodland from C Mee to Carpy Connolly, stage 5	35
Photograph B-40. Local bank erosion on the east bank, Carpy Connolly	35
Photograph B-41. East bank, Carpy Connolly from north end of C Mee property	36
Photograph B-42. East bank, Carpy Connolly from north end of C Mee property, stage 5	36
Photograph B-43. Bank erosion on the southern boundary of Quintessa, from southern boundary of Frogs Leap	37
Photograph B-44. Bank erosion on the southern boundary of Quintessa, from southern boundary of Frogs Leap, stage 4	37
Photograph B-45. Local bank erosion mid way up the Frogs Leap property, Quintessa on far bank, stage 4	38
Photograph B-46. Bank erosion on the Quintessa property, from mid way up Frogs Leap	38
Photograph B-47. Rip rap protection on the Quintessa property, opposite mid point on Frogs Leap	39
Photograph B-48. Steep west bank on mid section Frogs Leap	39
Photograph B-49. Terraces on stage 5 Quintessa reach opposite upper end of Frogs Leap	40
Photograph B-50. Undercut mature trees on the east bank of a stage 4 reach, mid section of Quintessa	40

Photograph B-51. Tree roots exposed by incision, Quintessa opposite Sutter Home	41
Photograph B-52. Bioengineered terrace on Sutter Home, bank erosion on opposite upstream Quintessa bank	41
Photograph B-53. Incised stage 4 reach on Guggenhime property	42
Photograph B-54. Incised stage 4 reach, Guggenhime/Quintessa boundary	42
Photograph B-55. Knickpoints on Guggenhime property south of Zinfandel Lane Bridge	43
Photograph B-56. Knickpoints on Guggenhime property south of Zinfandel Lane Bridge	43